

DEVELOPING AN APPEALING PERSONALITY

by

Eugene Meehan, Q.C.*

Chair, Supreme Court of Canada Practice Group
Lang Michener LLP, Ottawa

for

Going to the 'Big House': Your Key to Getting Out of the Supreme Court's Waiting Room - Applications for Leave to Appeal in Civil Matters

Canadian Bar Association Annual Meeting
Sheraton on the Falls, Niagara
Tuesday, August 17, 2010

*Lang Michener LLP
300 – 50 O'Connor Street
Ottawa, Ontario K1P 6L2
Tel: (613) 232-7171
Fax: (613) 231-3191
emeehan@langmichener.ca
www.supremecourtlaw.ca

Revised August 3, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
2.	OVERVIEW OF THE PROCESS	4
	Main Procedural Leave to Appeal and Appeal Steps.....	6
3.	THE STANDARD FOR GRANTING LEAVE	9
4.	WHETHER TO APPLY FOR LEAVE IN THE FIRST PLACE	10
5.	PRACTICAL ADVOCACY	11
	(a) When Acting for the Applicant	12
	(b) When Acting for the Respondent.....	15
	(c) When Acting for the Intervener (In a leave to appeal).....	16
6.	AFTER THE FIRST DRAFT & PRIOR TO FILING: TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE	16
7.	CONCLUSION	17

APPENDICES

1. Selected Bibliography
2. Precedent (Respondent): Importance of Table of Contents
3. Precedent (Applicant): Importance of telling a story and setting the issues
 - A. First draft
 - B. Middle draft
 - C. Final as-filed draft
4. Precedent (Applicant): Example of good storytelling, parallelism and high sense of organization
5. Precedent (Respondent): Example of good opening – trying to do it all in one paragraph
 - A. First draft
 - B. Final as-filed draft
6. Precedent (Applicant): Current example under new S.C.C. Rules, and good example of using simple table/chart incorporated into text.
7. Being particularly strategic: Precedent Response (Personal Injury)
 - A. First draft Response
 - B. Final as-filed Response.

8. Also being particularly strategic: Precedent Response (Contract)
 - A. Application to be responded to
 - B. As-filed Response
9. Precedent: Pull-out tab – very basic
10. Precedent: Pull-out tab – map
11. Precedent: Pull-out tab – chronology
 - A. Words
 - B. Visual

INTRODUCTION

This is, very deliberately, a brief practical non-academic no-nonsense primer on some practical points of (written) advocacy at the leave to appeal stage in the Supreme Court of Canada.

This paper is mercifully brief, pragmatic, and to the point - there is nothing worse than a long literary narrative on how to write better or be a better advocate - the only thing worse is a whole book on the subject.¹

Some basics:

- leaves to appeal are done in writing, and "heard" in writing.
- oral hearings are rare (perhaps 1 or 2 a year).

Some recent contextual statistics:²

- numbers of leave applications are up from a low period the year before: 2009: 542; 2008: 528; 2007: 602; 2006: 506; 2005: 544; 2004: 568; 2003: 550.
- time taken to decide leaves to appeal has decreased slightly: 3.2 months in 2009; 3.2 months in 2008; 3.5 months in 2007, 3.4 months in 2006.
- areas of law for applications for leave to appeal (2009):
 - Administrative: 5%
 - *Charter* (Civil): 10%
 - *Charter* (Criminal): 8%
 - Commercial: 7%
 - Criminal: 14%
 - Crown: 3%
 - Family: 4%
 - Labour: 8%
 - Others: 9%
 - Procedural: 18%
 - Property: 3%
 - Tax: 3%
 - Torts: 8%

(Of all Applications for Leave, generally 25% are criminal and 75% civil)

¹ See Selected Bibliography in Appendix.

² All statistics from "Statistics 1999 – 2009", Supreme Court of Canada.

- Applications for Leave to Appeal by region/court (2009):
 - Nunavut: 0
 - Northwest Territories: 1
 - Yukon: 2
 - Prince Edward Island: 4
 - Newfoundland: 7
 - New Brunswick: 7
 - Manitoba: 12
 - Nova Scotia: 11
 - Ontario: 137
 - Saskatchewan: 16
 - Alberta: 34
 - Federal Court of Appeal: 78
 - British Columbia: 70
 - Quebec: 139

1. OVERVIEW OF THE PROCESS

How the leave to appeal process actually works internally at the Court itself is partially described in two articles by former law clerks³, and is also described in Mr. Justice Sopinka's book *Conduct of an Appeal*:⁴

“At any particular time, the Court is divided into three panels of three judges for the purposes of applications for leave. On a periodic basis (usually weekly), the list of completed applications for leave (those ready for submission to the Court), are separated into three lists, each of which is allocated to one of the three panels. Each application is assigned to a lawyer from the Legal Services branch of the Court for the preparation of an objective summary and memorandum outlining the facts, issues and submissions of the parties. The objective summary and memorandum are used as briefings for the panel considering the application.

The panel seized of the application processes it with each member of the panel voting either to grant leave or dismiss. The panel then prepares its own memorandum summarizing its decision, which is circulated to all members of the Court. In a few applications, the decision is deferred by placing it on “Appendix C”, which is discussed below. In cases in which the panel determines (unanimously or by a majority) that an application should be granted, the application is placed on “Appendix B” to be considered further at a Conference

³ L. Sossin, “The Sounds of Silence: Law Clerks, Policy Making and the Supreme Court of Canada”, (1997) 30 U.B.C. Law Review 279; McInnes, J. Bolton, N. Derzko, “Clerking at the Supreme Court of Canada” (1994) 33 Alberta Law Review 58. See also Hon. Bertha Wilson, “Decision-Making in The Supreme Court”.(1986) 36 U of T Law Jo. 227.

⁴ Mr. Justice Sopinka and Mark Gelowitz, second edition, (Butterworths, 2000) pp. 234-243.

of the Court. Other members of the Court are advised that the application has been placed on "Appendix B".

The procedure with respect to applications that do not find immediate favour with the panel is somewhat more elaborate. Where the panel determines that an application should be dismissed, notice is provided to all members of the Court that the panel proposes to dismiss the application on a specific date (usually a few weeks hence). If a member of the Court not on the panel seized with the application is of the opinion that the application, by reason of its public importance, ought to be discussed by the full Court, notice is given to the other members of the Court, and the application is deferred to a subsequent conference of the full Court at which outstanding applications for leave are considered. The application is then referred to as an "Appendix D" application. A dissenting member of the panel seized of the application can place the application on "Appendix D" at the time that the panel's proposed disposition is announced.

Appendices B and D applications are reconsidered by the panel seized with the application in light of comments received from the full Court at the conference held for that purpose. The decision of the panel is generally made during the discussion at the conference, and judgment is released shortly thereafter.

An alternative category of application for leave is referred to within the Court as "Appendix C". These are applications which raise issues already before the Court either in other applications for leave or in appeals in respect of which leave has been granted. In the past, Appendix C applications were generally deferred pending the outcome of the prior application appeal."

An overview of the complete process (prepared in-house at Lang Michener and put at the front of every file so that notes and dates can be added as that file progresses) for both the leave to appeal process and appeal process itself, with each step set out and the procedural or substantive authority for same, with deadlines and brief comment, is as follows:

Main Procedural Leave to Appeal and Appeal Steps

STEP	SECTION/ RULE	TIME PERIOD
1. Leave to Appeal (LTA)	s.58(1)(a) R. 25/26	Serve & file within 60 days after the date of the judgment appealed from ⁵ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • structure and content see R. 25 (grey cover) • file original + 5 copies • for service see R. 26 & s. 58(1)(a) IMP: Rule 26: copy of Notice of Application to all <u>other</u> parties and interveners in the court appealed from (ordinary mail last known address or by fax to last known fax number); file with Registrar affidavit attesting to names of parties & addresses to which copies were sent IMP: for Appeals as of Right, it's 30 days (to file NoA), NOT 60
2. Response (R)	R. 27	Serve & file within 30 days after service of LTA <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • original + 5 copies • green cover
3. Reply (Ry)	R. 28	Serve & file within 10 days after service of R <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • original + 5 copies • grey cover
4. Leave to Cross Appeal (LCA)	R. 29	Serve & file within 30 days after service of LTA/NoA if AoR <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • original + 5 copies • green cover IMP: R. 29(1)(b): copy of Notice of Application to all <u>other</u> parties & interveners in the court appealed from (ordinary mail last known address) R. 29(1)(c): file with Registrar affidavit attesting to names of parties & interveners & addresses to which copies sent <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LCA can be joined with R to LTA
5. Response to Cross-Appeal (RCA)	R. 30 Form 29	Serve & file within 30 days after service of Application for leave to Cross-Appeal <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • original + 5 copies • grey cover • RCA can be joined with Ry to Response
6. Reply to Cross-Appeal	R. 31	Serve and file within 10 days after service of R <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • original + 5 copies • green cover
7. Leave to Appeal <input type="checkbox"/> Granted <input type="checkbox"/> Dismissed <input type="checkbox"/> With Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Without Costs		
8. Judgment & Notice of Deposit of Judgment		Sent by Clerk of Process within 1 week after LTA judgment.
9. Order ("Formal Order granting Leave" - FOGL)		(Now) done by SCC
10. Taxation of Costs	R. 83(2)	Notice of Taxation to be served and filed within 6 months of costs order

⁵ S. 58(2) and R. 5(3) – July does not count for any time periods except record, factum or book of authorities at appeal stage; LTI in an appeal; and service of a notice of a NCQ.

11. Notice of Appeal (NoA)	s. 60(1)(a) s. 58(1)(b) s. 60(4) s. 63 RR. 33-34 Form 33	Serve & file within 30 days of LTA granted, or 30 days of judgment appealed from if AoR ⁶ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> file (within 21 days of NoA filed in SCC) copy with court appealed from IMP: R. 33: if AoR, to include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> QoL on which dissenting judgment based Judgment & reasons Form 25B re: whether sealing order/publication ban IMP: R. 34: NoA must be sent to all other parties in the court appealed from (ordinary mail last known address or fax number); file with Registrar affidavit attesting to names of parties & addresses to which copies sent. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> s.57: Appellant can limit grounds of appeal. file electronic version of NoA (and Form 25 if AoR)
12. Security Deposit (SD)	s. 60(1)(b) s. 64 (amendment pending to abolish)	\$500 within 30 days of LTA judgment. Do at same time as NoA. Not if: criminal, habeas corpus, Federal Court, election cases, or “appeals by or on behalf of the Crown”
13. Constitutional Question	RR. 60-61	Serve & file within 30 days after LTA has been granted or after filing NoA in appeal for which leave is not required ⁷ Serve and file within 1 week Order and Notice on AG’s
14. Application to Intervene	R. 56	LTA: within 30 days after filing LTA Appeal: within 4 weeks after filing AF
15. Motion to Quash	s. 44 R. 63	Within 30 days after filing of a proceeding
16. Appellant’s Factum (AF) Appellant’s Record (AR) Appellant’s Book of Authorities (ABA) If Cross-Appeal, see RR.35(3)–(4) 36(2)(a)(i) 43 Form 29	R. 35 R. 38 R. 40 R. 42 R. 44	Serve & file within 12 weeks after filing NoA If CQ, 12–week period starts running once CQ decided). Serve: - 1 copy Factum on Respondent - 1 copy Record & Book of Auths. on Respondent - 1 copy of Factum, Book of Auths. and Record on Intervener - Electronic version of Factum, Record and Book of Auths. on all File: - original + 23 copies Factum & 20 copies of Record with Parts I & II - 11 copies of other volumes of Record - 11 copies Book of Auths. -Electronic version of Factum, Record and Book of Auths. <u>Factum:</u> beige <u>Record:</u> orange <u>Book of Auths.:</u> beige Contents of Record: R. 38 Printing of Record: R. 40 Contents of Factum: R. 42 Contents of Book of Auths.: R. 44 IMP: Deadline for electronic version: 5 days after paper filing (do not forget filing form)

⁶ No A must set out the provision of the statute that authorises the appeal. In the case of an appeal under Criminal Code see Rule 33(1)(d).

⁷ Rule 60 now allows an A.G. to bring a Motion to state a constitutional question without being required first to obtain leave to intervene.

<p>17. Respondent's Factum (RF)</p> <p>Respondent's Record (RR)</p> <p>Respondent's Book of Authorities (RBA)</p>	<p>R. 36 R. 39 R. 42 R. 44</p>	<p>Serve & file within 8 weeks of service of Appellant's Factum and Record</p> <p>Serve:- 1 copy Factum on Appellant - 1 copy Record & Book of Auths. on Appellant - 1 copy of Factum & Book of Auths. on intervener - electronic version of the Factum, Record and Book of Auths.</p> <p>File: - original + 10 copies Record (if any) - original + 23 copies Factum - 11 copies Book of Auths. - electronic version of the Factum, Record and Book of Auths.</p> <p><u>Factum:</u> green <u>Record:</u> grey <u>Book of Auths.:</u> green</p> <p>Contents of Record: R. 39 Printing of Record: R. 40 Contents of Factum: R. 42 Contents of Book of Auths.: R. 44</p>
<p>18. Intervener's Factum</p> <p>Intervener's Book of Authorities</p>	<p>R. 37 R. 44</p>	<p>Serve & file within 8 weeks of order granting leave to intervene <u>or</u> if an Attorney General, within 20 weeks of the filing of a notice of intervention under R. 61(4)</p> <p>Serve: - 1 copy (Factum & Book of Auths.) - electronic version of the Factum and Book of Auths.</p> <p>File: - original +23 copies Factum - 11 copies Book of Auths. - electronic copy of Factum, Record, and Book of Auths.</p> <p>Covers: blue Contents of Book of Auths.: R. 44 Electronic version of Factum and Book of Auths.</p>
<p>19. Scheduling Appeal</p>	<p>R. 69(2)</p>	<p>After Respondent's factum is filed or due (8 weeks after AF) Registrar issues list of appeals and send notice of hearing to all parties.</p>
<p>20. Condensed Book</p>	<p>R. 45</p>	<p>Serve: 1 on all parties File: - 14 copies with Registrar on day of hearing - same colour as Factum - Electronic version is optional</p>
<p>21. Name of Counsel appearing at Appeal, to Registrar</p>	<p>R. 71(4)</p>	<p>At least 2 weeks before the appeal is heard [mark date here when informed of date of Appeal]</p>
<p>22. Appeal</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Granted</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Dismissed</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> With Costs</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Without Costs</p>		
<p>23. (Formal) Judgment</p>		<p>Sent by Clerk of Process approx. 2 days after Judgment rendered.</p>
<p>24. Application to Rehear</p>	<p>R. 76</p>	<p>Before judgment or within 30 days</p>
<p>25. Response to Motion to Rehear</p>	<p>R. 76(2)</p>	<p>Within 15 days after service of the Motion</p>
<p>26. Payment out of Security for Costs</p>	<p>R. 86</p>	<p>No time period. On Motion to the Registrar.</p>
<p>27. Discontinuance or Dismissal</p>	<p>R. 93 R. 64(LTA) s. 69 R.65 (appeal)</p>	

Motion to Court	RR. 52-54	Original and 14 copies (grey cover) Response: within 10 days after service of motion (green cover) After Response filed/10 day period ended, Registrar sends notice of hearing
Motion to single Judge or Registrar	RR. 47-51	Original and 2 copies Motion related to LTA may be filed with LTA Response: within 10 days after service Reply: within 5 days after service

Abbreviations

LTA : Leave to Appeal	CoP : Clerk of Process
LTI : Leave to Intervene	NTP : Notice to Profession
NoA : Notice of Appeal	N/M : Notice of Motion
AoR : As of Right	SD : Security Deposit
R : Response or Respondent	I : Intervener
Ry : Reply	CA : Court of Appeal
RCA : Response to Cross-Appeal	LCA : Leave to Cross-Appeal
A : Applicant or Appellant	CC : Criminal Code
CQ : Constitutional Question	PoJ : Pronouncement of Judgment
F : Factum	FJ : Formal Judgment
AR : Appellant's Record	RR : Respondent's Record
AF : Appellant's Factum	RF : Respondent's Factum
ABA : Appellant's Book of Authorities	RBA : Respondent's Book of Authorities

QoL: : Question of Law

1. **Motion to a Judge or the Registrar**

Must include draft of Order sought including costs: Rule 47(1)(e)

2. **Computation of time**

- i) Holiday: a day that's a holiday not included in computing time period of less than 6 days (Rule 5(2))
- ii) July: July not included in computation of time except for service and filing of:
 - (1) Record (2) Factum (3) Book of Authorities (4) Motion for LTI under 56(b) and (5) Notice of CQ under 61(2) (Rule 5(3))
- iii) Dec. 21-Jan 7: not included in computation of time except for LTA and NoA (Rule 5.1)
- iv) Time is otherwise computed according to Interpretation Act.

3. **Computation of Days**

- the day on which the first event occurs is excluded
- the day on which the second event occurs is included (s. 27(2) Interpretation Act)

3. **THE STANDARD FOR GRANTING LEAVE**

The standard - even though it's circular - is set out in s. 40 (1) of the *Supreme Court Act*:

"by reason of its *public importance* or the importance of any law or any issue of mixed law and fact involved in that question, one that *ought* to be decided by the Supreme Court or is, for any

other reason, of such a nature or significance as to *warrant* decision by it " (emphasis added).

Probably a more realistic and practical standard is that set out by Madame Justice Wilson in a 1989 decision;

"it is important to look not only at the impugned legislation ... but also to the larger social, political and legal context."⁸

And more recently Chief Justice McLachlin has written:

"When considering whether to grant leave to appeal, the Court looks at whether the issue in some way extends *beyond the interest of the immediate litigants*.

Contradictory decisions on the same point of law among two or more provincial courts of appeal is *often indicative* of the issues suitable for hearing by the Court. The entrenchment of the *Charter* has greatly increased the number of constitutional cases where leave to appeal is granted. A right of appeal may also be accorded on a *novel point of law*, where a *conflict of doctrine* exists or where a *pervasive provincial statute* is called into question. The Court today is also making a concerted effort to hear *private law cases* that raise important issues, to ensure the continued development of a healthy Canadian jurisprudence in private law."⁹

Another standard which may be realistic is the following:

"Does this sound like an interesting case we'd like to hear argued before us?"

Were the latter true in some cases, then strategic considerations enter into how the leave to appeal factum and response factum (depending on the case) should be drafted.

4. WHETHER TO APPLY FOR LEAVE IN THE FIRST PLACE

Some practical considerations:

- you've got 60 days (in most cases) to put in your leave to appeal. Wait until you (or your client) have cooled off from losing at the Court of

⁸ *R. v. Turpin* [1989] 1 S.C.R. 1292 at 1331.

⁹ "Appeals to the Supreme Court of Canada", *Law Matters*, CBA Alberta, April 2005, p.4. Emphasis added.

Appeal - make the decision carefully and objectively: ask the question: will your case satisfy (or be made to satisfy) the standard for leave?

- do chances of success warrant the cost and trouble?
- if you've lost at the Court of Appeal in a particular province/territory, is there a risk that appealing to the Supreme Court of Canada could mean losing there too, and thereby establishing a negative country-wide precedent?
- is there a solid and substantive basis for the appeal (as compared to a procedural/interlocutory one) with issues really suited for a determination by Canada's highest court?
- is the decision below based more on credibility or findings of fact?
- even if there is an error of law below, is it so substantial as to have affected the outcome of the trial or the Court of Appeal decision?
- are you really filing a leave to appeal because your clients want you to, or because it is your considered and professional opinion that an appeal is order, and has a realistic chance of success?
- are there Court of Appeal decisions in opposition to each other that require settling by the S.C.C.?
- if there is a *Charter* issue, was it squarely raised at trial and was there a sufficient factual context put forward at trial to sustain it?
- if a particular provincial/territorial statute / regulation (or provision thereof) is in issue, are there similar provisions in other provinces/territories/federally which one could argue would be directly affected by a S.C.C. decision?

5. PRACTICAL ADVOCACY

To get right to it, the key practical considerations when 'designing', drafting and strategizing a factum are bulletized below.

But first, three preliminary strategies that can develop the impact of a factum, all three rather obvious, but all three often (surprisingly) ignored in practice:

- **The opening:**

Your factum should create anticipation immediately. A strong focussed opening paragraph emphasizing the public importance (or lack of public

importance if you're the respondent) can be very effective. Don't do the usual and simply give a one-paragraph procedural history of what happened below.

- **Analogy:**

An analogy that brings home the essential importance of your case (or again non-importance if you're the respondent). Recently seen:

- a case involving discipline of an auditor by a discipline panel allegedly comprising direct competitors: "It will be the legal equivalent of a cow wandering in to a slaughterhouse".
- a sex abuse case: "A neon light that never goes off".
- a re-trial of a 79-day trial involving elderly (aboriginal female) witnesses: "The legal equivalent of watching ambulance drivers stuffing car accident victims back in to the car wrecks".

- **The closing:**

Likewise can be very effective. Can pull the whole factum together into one whole, or rephrase and redevelop the opening, to give the factum a feeling of logic.

(a) When Acting for the Applicant

- consider filing an affidavit with the leave to appeal, setting out the reasons why your case raises issues of public importance. Not an expensive hired gun (academic or otherwise), and certainly not a lawyer; preferably somebody objective from that industry who can attest as to impact, and what that impact is.
- if legislation is involved, look at other provinces /territories /federally to see if they have similar provisions, and list excerpts in a pull-out chart. Thereby suggest all of that legislation is also "on trial".
- if legislation is in conflict, emphasize the problem this conflict produces, and the appropriate role of the S.C.C. in giving a national solution.
- in criminal cases, emphasize a question of law impacting on the administration of justice, or the *Charter* itself.

- in both criminal and civil cases, conflicting Court of Appeal decisions should of course be highlighted.
- something very important for every Applicant is that the facts are their Achilles' heel. The S.C.C. presumably wants cases with clean settled facts, not cases with highly disputed facts when they're being called upon to be a trial court. A good way to deal with it is to do a strong first paragraph which sets out what the case is really all about ("This case is about..." is good), followed by a second paragraph giving only the necessary facts the S.C.C. needs to know to understand the legal issues and understand the issue of public importance (commencing for example "A brief factual chronology is as follows:..").
- the opening paragraph is key. It sets the scene. It should tell it all, in simple non-legal language. It may be read first.
- likewise the issues section. May be read first. Must be drafted with extreme care to demonstrate public importance.
- Table of Contents may be read first also. Here headings and subheadings which make a positive statement, and develop a logical flow, should be used.
- if the proper role of the Court of Appeal viz-a-viz the judge-at-first - instance role of the trial court is possibly in issue (for example, assessing the evidence), have a look at (and possibly quote/refer to) the following S.C.C. cases:
 - *Pax Management Ltd. v. Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce* [1992] 2 S.C.R. 998 at 1013
 - *Ont. (A.G.) v. Bear Island Foundation* [1991] 2 S.C.R. 570 at 574
 - *R. v. Burns* [1994] 1 S.C.R. 656 at 663
 - *R.J.R. MacDonald Inc. v. Canada (A.G.)* [1995] 3 S.C.R. 199 at 334
 - *ter Neuzen v. Corn* [1995] 3 S.C.R. 674 at 694, 701-2, 709
 - *D'Amato v. Badger* [1996] 2 S.C.R. 1071 at 1090-91
 - *Schwartz v. Canada* [1996] 1 S.C.R. 254 at 278-281

- *Hickey v Hickey* [1999] 2 S.C.R. 518 at 528-529
 - *Housen v Nikolaisen* [2002] 2 S.C.R. 235 at 245-263
 - *H.L. v. Canada (Attorney General)* [2005] 1 S.C.R. 401, two paragraphs in particular: paras. 1 (p. 409) & para. 56 (pp. 421-422)
 - *Shafron v. KRG Insurance Brokers (Western) Inc.* [2009] 1 S.C.R. 157, paras. 13 (pp. 164-5), 47 (p. 176), 55 (p. 179), 58 (p. 180)k
 - but see also: *Madsen Estate v. Saylor* [2007] 1 S.C.R. 838 at 846, "...where the circumstances warrant, appellate courts have the jurisdiction to make a fresh assessment of the evidence on the record..." (*per* Rothstein J.)
- particularly when on for the Applicant, resist the temptation of the protective cover of legal jargon and academic argumentation. Be real. Be yourself. Write it the way you'd say it. The way you'd explain it to the person making you a "medium double double"¹⁰ at Tim Horton's or a "unleaded Twiggy"¹¹ at Starbucks. Write your academic article later, after you've won.
 - do not be tempted to argue the whole appeal or do a redraft of your Court of Appeal factum. It's all different now, there's only one theme: public importance.
 - likewise do *not* focus on all the merits of the appeal or why you should win the *appeal* or worse, focus in on the factual detail of your case.
 - it can be very useful (for the Court) to set out both the jurisprudential and social context of your case, and tie it to practical reality.
 - because practically no leaves to appeal are actually heard orally, the written memorandum of argument "should be a self-contained and comprehensive explication of the reasons why the case deserves the attention of the Supreme Court."¹²
 - you should not have more than two or three points in issue - some have ten or more, which dilutes effectiveness - few Courts of Appeal make ten major errors in one judgment.

¹⁰ Medium coffee, double cream, double sugar.

¹¹ Decaf, non-fat café au lait.

¹² *Supra* note 4, p. 239

- the final and fundamental point is that the leave to appeal factum should be specifically designed and drafted so as to get the Court's *interest* - *not* convince them of the right of your case or the merits of your appeal. It's *almost* worth drafting your leave to appeal factum from scratch without looking at facta below.

(b) When Acting for the Respondent

- See above with regard to certain matters such as headings, table of contents, analogies etc. which also apply to a Respondent.
- As noted above, the facts are the Applicant's Achilles' heel. One option (among others) is to attack the heel, argue facts, not law (unless the law is settled, and settled recently by a Court of Appeal/Supreme Court of Canada decision). Do a complete review of the trial transcript (or material filed in chambers) and see what key facts/factual findings you can legitimately take issue with.
- If you can argue the case is only important locally, or to the parties themselves, say so, and say *why*.
- If the trial judge was upheld by a unanimous Court of Appeal, say so - in the first (or second) paragraph.
- Only argue law if you really have to - there's only really one issue: public importance, and should the S.C.C. want to hear the case. The actual legal merits of the case are of secondary importance - for now (of primary importance at the appeal itself).
- Can the case at trial or at the Court of Appeal below be developed by you as Respondent in such a way as to encourage the reader to legitimately think "This has all been fully dealt with below. Why should we get in to this?"
- Consider the pros and cons of filing an application to adduce fresh evidence (and consider filing the fresh evidence with the application).
- As a Respondent, the best way to win at the Supreme Court of Canada is never to go there at all: put the necessary time and resources in to your response factum, to avoid the risk and expense of a full appeal.
- A pragmatic way to respond to a leave to appeal is:

- this is a factually based case;
 - set out the trial judge's/chamber's judge's key findings, which if undisturbed, leave no issue;
 - the case is important only to the parties;
 - the case is important only to the particular locality/province/territory;
 - the case is not really a "final or other judgment"¹³ of a Court of Appeal, but rather procedural or interlocutory in nature.
- A strategically-written Response factum should be focussed, surgical and above all sleep-inducing – encouraging the reader to say "no big deal here".

(c) When Acting for the Intervener (In a leave to appeal)

In some (though not many) you may wish to apply to intervene:

- You can now (under the new *Rules*) apply for leave to intervene in a leave to appeal¹⁴.
- Focus in on the issue of public importance.
- Walk your own path. Don't merely sing in the choir of one side or the other.
- Consider putting in a draft factum as part of your application to intervene, as an exhibit to your affidavit.

6. AFTER THE FIRST DRAFT & PRIOR TO FILING: TECHNICAL COMPLIANCE

The Court is being very strict on technical compliance with the *Rules* at this time - for example, failure to comply with prior Rule 33(3)(c), whereby every 10th line was to be numbered in the left-hand margin, had resulted in factums being rejected.

But it is more important than mere technical compliance: the closer your factum is to the standard format in which the judges and their clerks generally read factums, the more persuasive and professional your factum will be.

¹³ s.40(1) *Supreme Court Act*

¹⁴ Rule 55, but on motion

Supreme Court of Canada Registry gives out a checklist to counsel when a factum is rejected, which sets out selected technical matters the staff specifically check, some of which are as follows:

- 21.5 cm x 28 cm paper
- printed on left
- proper font size (typeface no smaller than 12 pt and no more than 12 characters per 2.5 cm)
- proper line spacing (1 ½)
- correct colour of cover
- correct style of cause *per* Rule 22 (2) - (3)
- names, addresses, telephone, fax and email addresses of counsel and agents
- material noted chronologically with dates (in table of contents)
- pages numbered top centre
- paragraphs numbered consecutively
- not in excess of page maximum
- table of authorities: Supreme Court Report cites, alphabetical order, page
- reference(s) in factum
- signed by counsel
- reasons and formal judgment for all courts below
- proof of service.

7. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, leaves to appeal are the most common filing at the Supreme Court of Canada, ranging from approximately 550 to 650 the last number of years. To get leave, practical written advocacy is important. It's not difficult. It only takes time. And a brutal editor. But as everyone's mother has probably told every child, "If it's worth doing, it's worth doing well".¹⁵

And one final thing: don't rely on your computer's spell checker. The following paragraph would pass muster:

*Scottish (or English) spelling can seam like a maize,
and put won straight into a hays.
Butt now never fear, the spell-checker is hear,*

¹⁵ The Celtic corollary, oftquoted by my grandfather if it's *not* worth doing: "Save yeer breath tae blaw on yeer porridge"...

*and is sew well-deserving of prays.
Butt let awl pore spellers bee ware of the checker
(as well as the therapist – or should that be the rapist)
and ewes it with care, lest yore hair bee turned into a hare.
Or lest you be thought the Loch Ness Monster (or worse, his butt).*