

Construction Law Update – Manitoba

2012 CBA National Construction Law Conference









State of the Construction Industry in Manitoba

- Steady Growth in the Industry in Manitoba
- Residential, Hydroelectric Development and Government Projects are driving development
- Despite a relatively steady economy, there is a shortage of skilled trades and experienced labour



Recent Notable Projects

• MB Hydro – Wuskwatim Generating Station





Recent Notable Projects (Continued)

• James A. Richardson International Airport







Recent Notable Projects (Continued)

IKEA!!!!





Recent Notable Projects (Continued)

• Investors Group Field (Bomber Stadium)





Case Update

Manitoba Eastern Star Chalet Inc. v. Dominion Construction Inc. 2011 MBQB 320

- Tender Dispute
- Dominion's bid contained an error which was not apparent on the face.
- Dominion argued that its own bid was not compliant and therefore not capable of acceptance.
- Court found that the bid was substantially compliant (the Court held that the omissions or errors were not material).
- ConstructionLawCanada.com January 29, 2012





Case Update (Continued)

NVR Holdings Ltd. v. Action Concrete Ltd., 2011 MBQB 103

- Lien Case Money paid into Court
- In Manitoba, a lien claimant has two years from the date of filing a lien to commence an action to enforce that lien.
- Lien filed February 2009.
- March 23, 2009 Money paid into Court and Lien was vacated.
- May 2010 Owner filed a claim for damages arising from the work that was the subject-matter of the lien.
- June 2010 Lien claimant filed a Statement of Defence and Counterclaim that doesn't mention the lien at all, just states that work was performed.
- Owner brought application for money to be paid out of Court as an "action" was not filed within 2 years after lien was filed.
- MBOB says a counterclaim (even one that doesn't specifically mention the lien) is an action within the meaning of the BLA.



Case Update (Continued)

Winnipeg Regional Health Authority Inc. v. Bockstael Construction Ltd. 2012 MBQB 116

- Construction Insurance Case
- Summary Judgment Master's Decision
- Defendant moved for summary judgment on the basis that the Plaintiff was barred from bringing a claim against it because of a Builder's Risk policy rationale from *Medicine Hat College v. Starks*
- Builder's Risk insurer had denied coverage. The Plaintiff's property insurer did cover the loss and brought a subrogated action against the Defendant.
- Master held that as the Builder's Risk insurer denied coverage, the principles of *Medicine Hat College* did not apply. The Plaintiff was not barred from bringing the action.





T D S THOMPSON DORFMAN SWEATMAN LLP

Jonathan M. Woolley

Phone: (204) 934-2367 e-mail: jmw@tdslaw.com

201 Portage Avenue, Suite 2200 | Winnipeg, Manitoba | www.tdslaw.com |